

As a family-member of the inhabitants of [REDACTED] (and potential trustee of it in due course), I welcome the changes outlined at DC-25 to reduce the amount of new road near and all around the house.

I assume for these purposes that the hard-standing to the SW of the house, which was to be a service area for the pond, will no longer be planned, as the pond will also be moved. (But the document outlining the proposed changes isn't explicit about these consequential changes.)

There remains the question of what landscaping there will be on the field in which the house is located: if this is planted as a wood, it will remove the views from the house in due course.

Nevertheless, it would still be beneficial not only for these residents but also for others for the whole new dual carriageway in this section to go north of the current road, not south. This would:

- take the road further away from this cluster of dwellings and many others;
- mean that the new road was not going through beautiful landscape (as that to the north is much scrubbier than the lovely farmland to the south);
- reduce the need for complicated junctions;
- make access much easier for everyone.

Since the AONB is being used for this road elsewhere, the argument that it needs to be preserved is no longer relevant. And in any case, the land in the AONB at this point is in fact not at all beautiful.